

Laurence M. Rosen (SBN # 219683)
THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.
333 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 785-2610
Facsimile: (213) 226-4684
Email: rosen@rosenlegal.com

Counsel for Plaintiff James Wang

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

JAMES WANG, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OCZ TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 11-01415-PSG

**STIPULATION AND
ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE AND TIME FOR
PARTIES TO EXCHANGE INITIAL
DISCLOSURES**

Hon. Paul S. Grewal

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2011 defendant OCZ Technology Group, Inc. ("OCZ") filed a motion to dismiss the complaint or in the alternative to strike certain allegations in the complaint (the "Motion"), noticing a hearing date of August 2, 2011 at 10 a.m. (docket no. 16);

WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties' April 14, 2011 stipulation, Plaintiff's opposition to the Motion is due on or by June 17, 2011, and OCZ's reply thereto is due on or by July 1, 2011 (docket no. 7);

WHEREAS, the deadline for parties to exchange initial disclosures is June 14, 2011 (docket no. 5);

1 WHEREAS, OCZ has raised a number of complex issues in their Motion and
2 Plaintiff's counsel requires additional time to respond;

3 WHEREAS, the parties require additional time to prepare their initial disclosures
4 due to the complex nature of this putative class action; and

5 WHEREAS, the parties believe that the requested adjustments will not cause
6 undue delay and will promote the orderly and efficient progress of the action;

7 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED among the undersigned parties
8 that:

9 The current schedule should be adjusted as follows:

- 10 • Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss or in the
11 alternative to strike will be due on or by July 5, 2011;
- 12 • Defendant's reply in further support of its motion to dismiss or in the
13 alternative to strike will be due on or by July 19, 2011; and
- 14 • Parties shall exchange initial disclosures on or by June 24, 2011.

15 SO STIPULATED

16 Dated: June 13, 2011

THE HINTON LAW FIRM

18 By: /s/ Christopher S. Hinton
19 Christopher S. Hinton

20 And

21 THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.
22 LAURENCE M. ROSEN (SBN # 219683)
23 PHILLIP KIM

24 Counsel for Plaintiff James Wang

Dated: June 13, 2010

MAYER BROWN LLP
NEIL M. SOLTMAN
MATTHEW H. MARMOLEJO
RUTH ZADIKANY

By: /s/ Matthew H. Marmolejo (authorized 6/13/2011)
Matthew H. Marmolejo
Counsel for Defendant OCZ Technology Group, Inc.

ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Plaintiff shall file its opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss or in the alternative to strike on or by July 5, 2011, and Defendant shall file its reply, if any, on or by July 19, 2011; and
2. The parties shall exchange their initial disclosures by June 24, 2011.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 17, 2011


HON. PAUL S. GREWAL
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE